



Cev
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/750,198	12/27/2000	Anil Vasudevan	042390.P9018	7014
7590	12/04/2003			EXAMINER
R. Alan Burnett BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP 7th Floor 12400 Wilshire Boulevard Los Angeles, CA 90025-1026			HUYNH, KIM T	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2189	
			DATE MAILED: 12/04/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/750,198	VASUDEVAN, ANIL	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Kim T. Huynh	2189	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 9/11/03.

2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.

3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.

4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.

6) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected.

7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.

8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10) The drawing(s) filed on 12/27/00 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) All b) Some * c) None of:
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.

14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.

Attachment(s)

1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____
2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _____	6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

2. Claims 1-14, 16, 18-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Papa et al. (US Patent 6,324,608)

As per claim 1, Papa discloses an apparatus comprising:

- A housing; (fig.1, 101)
- a mainboard (fig.2, 182) including memory (fig.2, 204) and a first processor mounted within the housing; (col.3, lines 61-col.4, line 37)
- a first network interface disposed within the housing and operatively coupled to the first processor, having a first network port (slot) and a first address; (col.4, line 66-col.5, line 10), wherein multiple slots for multiple devices implies different slots per different address)
- at least one expansion slot for receiving a peripheral device; and (col.4, line 66-col.5, line 10)

- a network communications link connecting the first network interface to said at least one expansion slot substantially disposed within the housing; (col.4, line 66-col.5, line 35)
- wherein the first processor is enabled to communicate with a peripheral device adapted to be coupled to one of said at least one expansion slot and having a built-in network interface by transmitting data via the first network interface and the built-in network interface over the network communications link using a network communications link using a network transmission protocol. (col.4, line 66-col.6, line 65)

As per claim 2, Papa discloses the apparatus further comprising a second network interface disposed on the mainboard in proximity to one of said at least one expansion slot having a second address and a second network port to enable communication between the first processor and a peripheral device that does not include a built-in network interface when the peripheral device is mounted in the one of said at least one expansion slots. (col.4, line 66-col.6, line 65)

As per claim 3, Papa discloses wherein the network communications link comprises a network bus embedded in the mainboard. (col.5, lines 21-35), (col.7, lines 7-67)

As per claims 4, 7, Papa discloses wherein the first network interface and the communications link comprise an Ethernet subnet. (col.2, lines 5-24), (col.3, lines 2-10)

As per claim 5, Papa discloses the apparatus further comprising:

- a second processor coupled to the mainboard; and (fig.2, 200s)
(col.4, lines 18-37)
- a second network interface operatively coupled to the second processor and the network communications link to enable communication between the second processor and a peripheral device having a built-in network interface when the peripheral device is mounted in one of said at least one expansion slots.
(col.4, line 66-col.6, line 65)

As per claim 6, Papa discloses a system comprising a computing machine including:

- A housing; and (fig.1, 101)
- A mainboard to which memory and a first processor are connected, said mainboard providing a first network interface operatively coupled to the first processor having a first network port and a first address; (col.4, line 66-col.5, line 10)
- A first peripheral disposed within the housing; (col.5, lines 22-col.6, line 65)
- A second network interface operatively coupled to the mainboard, providing a second network port and a second network address, linked in communication with the first peripheral device; and (col.4, line 66-col.6, line 65)

- A communications link between the first and second network interfaces substantially disposed within the housing. (col.4, line 66-col.6, line 65)

As per claim 8, Papa discloses wherein the communication link comprises a network signal bus built into the mainboard. (col.5, lines 22-35)

As per claim 9, Papa discloses wherein the communications link comprises a token ring. (col.2, lines 5-24)

As per claim 10, Papa discloses wherein the second network interface is built into the first peripheral device; (col.3, lines 1-10), wherein interface inherently built-in into peripheral device to provide communication)

As per claim 11, Papa discloses wherein the second network interface is built into the mainboard. (col.3, lines 1-10)

As per claim 12, Papa discloses wherein the peripheral device comprises one of a video subsystem, a memory subsystem, a disk controller and a modem. (col. 4, lines 1-7)

As per claim 13, Papa discloses wherein the mainboard further includes a second processor connected to a third network interface having a third network address and network port connected to the communication link. (col.4, line 66-col.6, line 65)

As per claim 14, Papa discloses a method for enabling communication between a peripheral device disposed within a computing machine having a processor and an application running on the processor, comprising:

- providing a network interface for each of the processor and the peripheral device; (col.4, line 66-col.6, line 65)
- providing a communication link between the network interfaces of the processor and the peripheral device;(col.3, lines 1-10), (col.4, line 66-col.6, line 65)
- creating a network socket for each of the processor and the peripheral device; (col.4, line 66-col.6, line 65)
- stabliling a connection between the processor and the peripheral device; and (col.4, line 66-col.6, line 65)
- generating messages with the application; (col.1, line 64-col.23)
- transferring the messages between the processor and peripheral device using a network transmission protocol. (col.1, line 64-col.23)

As per claim 16, Papa discloses the method further comprising applying security measures to determine if an application may connect to a particular peripheral device. (col.1, lines 64-67)

As per claim 18, Papa discloses wherein the communications link and the network interfaces comprise an internal Ethernet network. (col.2, lines 5-24)

As per claim 19, Papa discloses wherein the communications link and the network interfaces comprises an internal token ring network. (col.2, lines 5-24)

As per claim 20, Papa discloses the system further comprising:

A storage device on which software is stored, the software comprising machine instructions that are executable by the first processor that includes a socket application interface (API) that binds the address of the first peripheral device to the second network port and a network interface abstraction layer that provides an abstracted interface that enables an application to communicate with the first peripheral device using a networking protocol. (col.3, lines 1-10), (col.3, line 53-col.4, line55)

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 15 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Papa et al. (US Patent 6,324,608) in view of Wadsworth et al. (US Patent 6,067,407)

Papa discloses all the limitations as above except the network transmission protocol comprises the UDP or TCP/IP protocol. However, Wadsworth discloses the TCP/IP module provides process steps for a protocol stack that has user datagram protocol (UDP), (col.9, lines 18-20)

Art Unit: 2189

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skills in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate Wadsworth's teaching into Papa's method with the same purposes of data transmission over networks.

Response to Amendment

5. Applicant's amendment filed on 9/11/03 have been fully considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

In response to applicant's amendment that Chiloyan does not disclose network interface disposed within the housing and operatively coupled to the processor. Papa discloses a first network interface disposed within the housing and operatively coupled to the first processor, having a first network port (slot) and a first address; (col.4, line 66-col.5, line 10), wherein multiple slots for multiple devices implies different slots per different address). Thus, the prior art teaches the invention as claimed and the amended claims do not distinguish over the prior art as applied; therefore they are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is

Art Unit: 2189

filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

7. *Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kim Huynh whose telephone number is (703)305-5384 or via e-mail addressed to [kim.huynh3@uspto.gov]. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30AM-6:30PM.*

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark Rinehart can be reached on (703) 305-4815 or via e-mail addressed to [mark.rinehart@uspto.gov]. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)872-9306 for regular communications and After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)306-5631.

Kim Huynh

Nov. 28, 2003



MARK H. RINEHART
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100